

12/20/05 VNXX workgroup issues list

- 1) What factors determine whether a call is a local or non-local call?
 - a) How should the term “physically located” be defined?
 - b) How should the term “called party” be defined?
- 2) How widespread is the use of VNXX in Michigan?
- 3) Can VNXX calls be tracked?
 - a) notification;
 - b) administrative burdens;
 - c) LNP issues/complications, and
 - d) accounting method for tracking VNXX calls
- 4) Would there be an effect on emerging technologies if VNXX is eliminated? For example such as on:
 - a) VOIP;
 - b) Cellular;
 - c) Ability of a customer to take a phone number with them everywhere, and
 - d) Broadband.
- 5) Is any carrier legally or technically foreclosed from offering a service that utilizes VNXX?
- 6) Is any VNXX traffic subject to preemption by the FCC?
- 7) Should one carrier’s tariffs limit, or expand, the service offerings of another carrier? Is there a role for the MPSC?
- 8) How does VNXX affect network investment?
- 9) Is there evidence of benefit or harm from VNXX service?
- 10) How, by whom, and when, will end users be notified which calls will become toll calls effective 12/31/07?
- 11) What is the appropriate compensation method for VNXX?
 - a) Is it:
 - i) 251(b)(5) reciprocal compensation;
 - ii) ISP bound compensation;
 - iii) bill and keep, or
 - iv) originating switched access charges.
 - b) Will and how will the following FCC dockets impact compensation for VNXX?
 - i) Reform of Inter-carrier Compensation System, cc docket No. 01-92; and
 - ii) Inter-carrier Compensation on Internet Protocol Traffic, wc docket No. 04-36.
 - c) Has anything changed since previous MPSC decisions on VNXX?

- d) Can the MPSC continue to apply its previous decisions after 12/31/07?
- e) Who is the cost causer/retail service provider of VNXX service?
- f) Are there costs incurred by the originating provider, or network providers for VNXX that would not be incurred in handling a non-VNXX local call?