

COMMENTS	ABATE	Consumers	Dow Corning	Edison	Energy Office	Environment Michigan	Gear	I&M	LBWL	Lewis	MECA	MEGA	MMEA	MSEC	RES	Wiser	Youngquist
Should a Michigan RPS apply to All LSEs?		Y		Y	Y		Y							Y	Y		Y
Should some LSEs be exempted, based on size or other characteristics?	Y		Y					Y			Y	Y				Y	
Municipals may be impossible to address, except through a voluntary RPS.	Y	Y							Y				Y			Y	
Should RPS be voluntary only, not mandatory?	Y			Y							Y	Y					
Are proposed RPS targets too low?						Y				Y					Y		
Are proposed RPS targets too high?				Y				Y			Y	Y					
Should targets be based on new sales growth only?	Y										Y	Y					
Should targets be different for each LSE?											Y	Y					
Should MPSC be able to grant waivers or exemptions?	Y										Y	Y					
Should RPS targets be long-term and stable?		Y				Y		Y		Y					Y	Y	Y
Should MPSC be able to increase RPS targets, if targets prove easy to meet or REC costs stay low?						Y				Y				Y			
Should MPSC be able to <i>reduce</i> targets?				Y				Y			Y	Y					
Should only the legislature be able to change targets?		Y		Y				Y				Y					
Should proposed 24-month lead time be adjusted?		Y		Y						Y		Y			Y		
Should there be specific technology carve-outs?		N		N						N	N						
Should existing renewables be eligible in RPS?		Y		Y							Y						Y
Is the proposed ACP amount too high?				Y				Y				Y					
Is the proposed ACP amount too low?										Y							
Should a method for setting and adjusting ACP be included in the state's RPS Program?		Y		Y													
Should ACP charges be recoverable in rates?														N			
Should ACP charges be deposited in MPSC LIEEF?					N		N	N	N		N			N			

⇨COMMENTER⇨ ⇩TOPIC/ISSUE⇩	ABATE	Consumers	Dow Corning	Edison	Energy Office	Environment Michigan	Gear	I&M	LBWL	Lewis	MECA	MEGA	MMEA	MSEC	RES	Wiser	Youngquist
Should 2000 PA 141 definition be used to determine what resources qualify for RPS?					Y				Y		Y						
Should specific technologies be included or excluded? ¹		Y		Y		Y		Y	Y			y				Y	
Should Michigan consider allowing RECs to be sourced from anywhere?								Y	Y		Y	Y				Y	
Should Michigan RECs come only from in-state facilities?					Y									Y	Y		Y
Should REC eligibility depend on other considerations? ²	Y ²			Y ²				Y ²		Y ²	Y ²				Y ²		
Should there be a statewide renewable energy purchasing agent?	N	N		N	Y		N	N	Y		N	N				N	
Should the RPS program include a rate-impact limit? ³	Y ³			Y	Y ³		³	Y			Y	Y					
Should the MPSC be able to adjust targets, to limit rate impacts?	Y										Y						
Should MPSC assure cost recovery for RPS purchases, through pre-approval of contracts or other means? ⁴	⁴	Y	⁴	Y ⁴		⁴		Y ⁴			⁴	Y		⁴		Y	
Should RPS compliance reporting apply to all LSEs? ⁵		Y		Y					⁵		⁵	⁵				⁵	
Should MPSC report to legislature on RPS progress?		Y		Y				Y			Y						
Should siting or zoning policies be developed, for Michigan renewable energy facilities?								Y			Y						
Should Michigan tax incentives be considered for specific renewable energy technologies (e.g. solar)? ⁶	Y ⁶									Y				Y			
Should a clean energy portfolio standard be considered for Michigan, including eligibility for energy efficiency?							Y				Y						
<p>¹ For details of proposals, see comments from each party. In addition to those commenters listed here, Appleyard (solar thermal) and Dead River Campers (hydroelectric) commented in support of eligibility for specific technologies.</p> <p>² For details of other proposals about RECs, see comments from these parties.</p> <p>³ For details of other rate-impact limit proposals, see comments from these parties.</p> <p>⁴ For details of other cost-recovery proposals, see comments from these parties.</p> <p>⁵ For details of other proposals on compliance reporting, see comments from these parties.</p> <p>⁶ For details of other proposals for policies in addition to RPS, see comments from this party.</p>																	