

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the request for Commission)
approval of an interconnection agreement between)
COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY and)
AMERITECH MICHIGAN.)
_____)

Case No. U-12927

At the July 11, 2001 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Laura Chappelle, Chairman
Hon. David A. Svanda, Commissioner
Hon. Robert B. Nelson, Commissioner

OPINION AND ORDER

On April 23, 2001, Covad Communications Company (Covad) and Ameritech Michigan filed a joint application for approval of an interconnection agreement. The agreement results from the decision of Covad to adopt the terms and conditions of the interconnection agreement between Ameritech Michigan and Rhythms Links, Inc., f/k/a Accelerated Connections, Inc., that was approved on February 2, 1999 in Case No. U-11841, including the merger amendment and the first and second amendments that were approved May 22, 2000 and November 2, 2000, respectively. The agreement establishes financial and operational terms for the following: the physical interconnection between Ameritech Michigan's and Covad's networks, mutual and reciprocal compensation for the exchange of traffic originated on their respective networks, unbundled access to

Ameritech Michigan's network elements, physical collocation, number portability, resale, access to databases, and a variety of other business relationships.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the application and agreement, that it should approve the interconnection agreement. The Commission finds that the agreement is consistent with federal and state law and is in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2); MSA 22.1469(359)(2), the services provided under the agreement must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 et seq.; MSA 22.1469(101) et seq.; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 et seq.;

MSA 3.560(101) et seq.; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1992 AACRS, R 460.17101 et seq.

b. The agreement should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreement between Covad Communications Company and Ameritech Michigan is approved.

B. Approval of the agreement does not alter the duty of Covad Communications Company and Ameritech Michigan to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ Laura Chappelle
Chairman

(S E A L)

/s/ David A. Svanda
Commissioner

/s/ Robert B. Nelson
Commissioner

By its action of July 11, 2001.

/s/ Dorothy Wideman
Its Executive Secretary

MSA 3.560(101) et seq.; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1992 AACRS, R 460.17101 et seq.

b. The agreement should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreement between Covad Communications Company and Ameritech Michigan is approved.

B. Approval of the agreement does not alter the duty of Covad Communications Company and Ameritech Michigan to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Commissioner

Commissioner

By its action of July 11, 2001.

Its Executive Secretary

In the matter of the request for Commission)
approval of an interconnection agreement between)
COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY and)
AMERITECH MICHIGAN.)
_____)

Case No. U-12927

Suggested Minute:

“Adopt and issue order dated July 11, 2001 approving an interconnection agreement between Covad Communications Company and Ameritech Michigan, as set forth in the order.”