

STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the applications for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the March 29, 2002 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Laura Chappelle, Chairman
Hon. David A. Svanda, Commissioner
Hon. Robert B. Nelson, Commissioner

OPINION AND ORDER

The following applications for approval of interconnection agreements and amendments
have been filed:

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| Case No. U-11606 | Centennial Communications Corporation and Ameritech Michigan
Application filed January 10, 2002 for approval of the second
amendment (rates and charges approved by the Commission in
Case No. U-11831). |
| Case No. U-11632 | Dobson Cellular Systems, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan
Application filed January 22, 2002 for approval of the first
amendment (rates and charges approved by the Commission in
Case No. U-11831). |
| Case No. U-12382 | Coast to Coast Telecommunications, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan
Application filed February 1, 2002 for approval of the second
amendment (reciprocal compensation, interconnection, and
trunking). |
| Case No. U-12460 | Level 3 Communications, LLC, and Ameritech Michigan
Application filed March 6, 2002 for approval of Merger
Commitment Amendments. |

- Case No. U-12987 Allegiance Telecom of Michigan, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan Application filed February 1, 2002 for approval of the fourth amendment (reciprocal compensation, interconnection, and trunking).
- Case No. U-13005 TelNet Worldwide, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan Application filed February 8, 2002 for approval of the Mi2A amendment.
- Case No. U-13028 NOS Communications, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan Application filed March 1, 2002 for approval of the Mi2A amendment.
- Case No. U-13032 B & S Telecom, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan Application filed March 6, 2002 for approval of the second amendment (operator services rater/reference information).
- Case No. U-13211 Ameritech Advanced Data Services of Michigan, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan Application filed February 26, 2002 for approval of the first amendment (HFPL ordering on pending voice accounts).
- Case No. U-13218 RACC Enterprises, LLC, and Ameritech Michigan Application filed February 20, 2002 for approval of the Mi2A amendment.
- Case No. U-13254 VarTek Telecom, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan Application filed January 10, 2002 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-13264 Sure-Tel, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan Application filed January 17, 2002 for approval of an interconnection agreement, an appendix, the Mi2A amendment, and the first amendment (adoption, pursuant to 47 USC 252(i), of agreement approved on October 24, 2000 in Case No. U-12382 for Coast to Coast Telecommunications, Inc., including Appendix DSL; negotiated reciprocal compensation appendix; rates and charges approved by the Commission in Cases Nos. U-11831 and U-12696).
- Case No. U-13284 United Telecom, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan Applications filed February 1, 2002 for approval of an interconnection agreement and approval of the Mi2A amendment.

- Case No. U-13295 DSLnet Communications, LLC, and Ameritech Michigan
Application filed February 7, 2002 for approval of an interconnection agreement, an appendix, and the first amendment (adoption, pursuant to 47 USC 252(i), of agreement approved on February 5, 2001 in Case No. U-12460 for Level 3 Communications, LLC; negotiated reciprocal compensation appendix; amendment governing performance measures, etc.).
- Case No. U-13299 Access One, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan
Application filed February 11, 2002 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-13302 BullsEye Telecom, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan
Application filed February 19, 2002 for approval of an interconnection agreement and the Mi2A amendment.
- Case No. U-13303 Heartland Communications and Ameritech Michigan
Application filed February 19, 2002 for approval of an interconnection agreement (adoption, pursuant to 47 USC 252(i), of agreement approved on May 27, 1999 in Case No. U-11943 for Paging Network of Michigan, Inc.).
- Case No. U-13327 Premiere Network Services, Inc., and Ameritech Michigan
Application filed March 14, 2002 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .

- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, the agreements, and the amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and the amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 et seq.; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 et seq.; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1992 AACCS, R 460.17101 et seq.
- b. The interconnection agreements and the amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and the amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and the amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ Laura Chappelle
Chairman

(S E A L)

/s/ David A. Svanda
Commissioner

/s/ Robert B. Nelson
Commissioner

By its action of March 29, 2002.

/s/ Dorothy Wideman
Its Executive Secretary

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Commissioner

Commissioner

By its action of March 29, 2002.

Its Executive Secretary

In the matter of the applications for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

Suggested Minute:

“Adopt and issue order dated March 29, 2002 approving interconnection agreements and amendments, as set forth in the order.”