

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission )  
approval of interconnection agreements and )  
amendments. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

At the August 18, 2003 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,  
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chair  
Hon. Robert B. Nelson, Commissioner  
Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner

**ORDER**

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection  
agreements and amendments:

- |                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| Case No. U-11168 | SBC Ameritech Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC<br>Application filed July 10, 2003 for approval of the fourth amendment (UNE Combination Tariff Amendment).                              |
| Case No. U-11467 | Sprint Spectrum L.P. as general partner and agent for WirelessCo. L.P. and SBC Ameritech Michigan.<br>Application filed August 6, 2003 for approval of the third amendment (reciprocal compensation).          |
| Case No. U-12886 | SBC Ameritech Michigan and 1-800-Reconex, Inc.<br>Application filed July 31, 2003 for approval of the sixth amendment (reciprocal compensation).   |
| Case No. U-13211 | SBC Ameritech Michigan and Ameritech Advanced Data Services of Michigan, Inc.<br>Application filed July 18, 2003 for approval of the eighth amendment (HFPL Line and Station Transfer Rate-Maintenance Phase). |

- Case No. U-13467 SBC Ameritech Michigan and NOS Communications, Inc.  
Application filed July 29, 2003 for approval of a third amendment (reciprocal compensation).
- Case No. U-13770 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and DayStarr Communications  
Application filed May 21, 2003, as amended by the July 16, 2003 filing, for approval of the first amendment (Line Share Amendment).
- Case No. U-13804 SBC Ameritech Michigan and Centennial Michiana License Company LLC  
Application filed June 6, 2003, as amended by the July 31, 2003 filing, for approval of an interconnection agreement and first amendment (Negotiated Reciprocal Compensation Appendix).
- Case No. U-13824 SBC Ameritech Michigan and Alpine-Michigan E, Inc.  
Application filed July 3, 2003 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-13825 SBC Ameritech Michigan and Alpine-Michigan F LLC  
Application filed July 7, 2003 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-13835 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and Metropolitan Telecommunications of Michigan, Inc.  
Application filed July 14, 2003 for approval of an interconnection agreement and first amendment (resale services)
- Case No. U-13836 SBC Michigan and dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C.  
Application filed July 17, 2003 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-13844 Sun Communications, LLC and SBC Ameritech Michigan  
Application filed on July 24, 2003 for approval of a resale agreement.
- Case No. U-13845 SBC Ameritech Michigan and Metro Teleconnect Companies, Inc.  
Application filed August 6, 2003 for approval of the second amendment (UNE Combination Tariff Amendment).
- Case No. U-13845 SBC Ameritech Michigan and Metro Teleconnect Companies, Inc.  
Application filed July 24, 2003 for approval of an interconnection agreement and first amendment.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
  - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
    - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
    - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 et seq.; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 et seq.; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 et seq.
- b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ Laura Chappelle  
Chairman

( S E A L )

/s/ David A. Svanda  
Commissioner

/s/ Robert B. Nelson  
Commissioner

By its action of August 18, 2003.

/s/ Robert W. Kehres  
Its Acting Executive Secretary

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

---

Chair

---

Commissioner

---

Commissioner

By its action of August 18, 2003.

---

Its Acting Executive Secretary

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission )  
approval of interconnection agreements and )  
amendments. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Suggested Minute:

“Adopt and issue order dated August 18, 2003 approving interconnection agreements and amendments, as set forth in the order.”