

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission )  
approval of interconnection agreements and )  
amendments. )  
\_\_\_\_\_)

At the May 2, 2003 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,  
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Laura Chappelle, Chairman  
Hon. David A. Svanda, Commissioner  
Hon. Robert B. Nelson, Commissioner

**OPINION AND ORDER**

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection  
agreements and amendments:

- |                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| Case No. U-11467 | SBC Ameritech Michigan and Sprint Spectrum, LP<br>Application filed April 7, 2003 for approval of the Second<br>Amendment to its interconnection agreement (Reciprocal<br>Compensation for CMRS Local Calling).   |
| Case No. U-12365 | Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon<br>North Systems, and TelNet Worldwide, Inc.<br>Application filed February 5, 2003 for approval of a first<br>amendment (regarding FCC 01-131, CC Docket Nos. 96-98 and<br>99-68, the "Order on Remand").   |
| Case No. U-13448 | Various SBC Communications, Inc. owned companies, including<br>Ameritech Michigan and AccuTel of Texas, Inc.<br>Application filed March 21, 2003 for approval of an arbitrated<br>second amendment (pursuant to the Commission's July 23, 2002<br>and November 7, 2002 arbitration orders) to its negotiated<br>Interconnection agreement approved by the Commission's<br>September 16, 2002 order. |

- Case No. U-13513      SBC Ameritech Michigan and Sage Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed April 1, 2003 for approval of the sixth amendment to its interconnection agreement (Reverse Directory Assistance).
- Case No. U-13686      SBC Ameritech Michigan and VarTec Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed March 27, 2003 for approval of the second amendment to its interconnection agreement (Unbundled IntraLATA Interexchange Transmission).
- Case No. U-13687      SBC Ameritech Michigan and Excel Telecommunications, Inc.  
Application filed March 27, 2003 for approval of the second amendment to its interconnection agreement (Unbundled IntraLATA Interexchange Transmission).
- Case No. U-13700      SBC Ameritech Michigan and The Winn Telephone Company, d/b/a Winn Telecom  
Application filed February 10, 2003 for approval of a traffic termination agreement.
- Case No. U-13702      SBC Ameritech Michigan and ICG Telecom Group, Inc.  
Application filed February 12, 2003 for approval of a traffic termination agreement.
- Case No. U-13741      Various SBC Communications, Inc. owned companies, including Ameritech Michigan and Broadview Networks, Inc.  
Application filed March 20, 2003 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-13742      CenturyTel's Michigan Companies and Alpine-Michigan F, LLC, RFB Cellular, Inc., Alpine PCS, Inc., and Alpine-Michigan E, Inc.  
Application filed March 19, 2003 for approval of a wireless interconnection agreement.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
  - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
    - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or

- (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 et seq.; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 et seq.; and the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1992 AACS, R 460.17101 et seq.
- b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ Laura Chappelle  
Chairman

( S E A L )

/s/ David A. Svanda  
Commissioner

/s/ Robert B. Nelson  
Commissioner

By its action of May 2, 2003.

/s/ Dorothy Wideman  
Its Executive Secretary

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
Commissioner

By its action of May 2, 2003.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Its Executive Secretary

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission )  
approval of interconnection agreements and )  
amendments. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Suggested Minute:

“Adopt and issue order dated May 2, 2003 approving interconnection agreements and amendments, as set forth in the order.”