

STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the July 8, 2003 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Laura Chappelle, Chairman
Hon. David A. Svanda, Commissioner
Hon. Robert B. Nelson, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection
agreements and amendments:

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| Case No. U-12217 | Verizon North Inc. f/k/a GTE North Inc., Contel of the South, Inc.,
d/b/a Verizon North Systems and Teleport Communications Group
Inc./TCG Detroit Holdings I, Inc.
Applications filed June 20, 2003 and June 24, 2003 for approval of
the second and first amendments, respectively (amends the terms
and conditions between Verizon and TCG respecting interconnec-
tion architecture). |
| Case No. U-12460 | SBC Ameritech Michigan and Level 3 Communications, LLC
Applications filed June 11, 2003 and June 25, 2003 for approval of
the fourth and fifth amendments (supersedes, amends, and
modifies certain compensation, interconnection, and trunking
provisions and adds OELEC language to NIM Appendix). |
| Case No. U-13454 | SBC Ameritech Michigan and New Access Communications LLC
Application filed June 9, 2003 for approval of the first amendment
(incorporates non-recurring HFPL Line and Station Transfer Rate-
Maintenance Phase). |

- Case No. U-13587 SBC Ameritech Michigan and Delta Phones, Inc.
Application filed May 7, 2003 for approval of the first amendment
(incorporates HFPL Line and Station Transfer Rate-Maintenance
Phase).
- Case No. U-13801 Access One, Inc. and SBC Ameritech Michigan
Application filed June 2, 2003 for approval of an interconnection
agreement.
- Case No. U-13805 grid 4 Communications, Inc. and SBC Ameritech Michigan
Application filed on June 12, 2003 for approval of an intercon-
nection agreement.
- Case No. U-13809 AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. and Buckeye Telesystem, Inc.
Application filed June 13, 2003 for approval of an interconnection
agreement.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under

47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 et seq.; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 et seq.; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 et seq.

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ Laura Chappelle
Chairman

(S E A L)

/s/ David A. Svanda
Commissioner

/s/ Robert B. Nelson
Commissioner

By its action of July 8, 2003.

/s/ Robert W. Kehres
Its Acting Executive Secretary

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Commissioner

Commissioner

By its action of July 8, 2003.

Its Acting Executive Secretary

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

Suggested Minute:

“Adopt and issue order dated July 8, 2003 approving interconnection agreements and amendments, as set forth in the order.”