

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the September 20, 2005 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chairman
Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
and amendments:

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| Case No. U-11098 | SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC,
as successor in interest to MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.
Application filed August 3, 2005 for approval of a tenth amendment
to an interconnection agreement (interim solution for exchange of
out-of-exchange traffic). |
| Case No. U-11098 | SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC,
as successor in interest to MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc.
Application filed August 8, 2005 for approval of an eleventh
amendment to an interconnection agreement (reciprocal
compensation). |
| Case No. U-11178 | SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC,
as successor in interest to Brooks Fiber Communications of
Michigan, Inc.
Application filed August 3, 2005 for approval of a ninth amendment
to an interconnection agreement (interim solution for exchange of
out-of-exchange traffic). |

- Case No. U-11178 SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC, as successor in interest to Brooks Fiber Communications of Michigan, Inc.
Application filed August 8, 2005 for approval of a tenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (reciprocal compensation).
- Case No. U-12465 SBC Michigan and AT&T Communications, Inc.
Application filed August 4, 2005 for approval of a sixteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (extends term of the agreement for 24 months and conforms to TRO and post-TRO remand requirements).
- Case No. U-12465 SBC Michigan and TCG Detroit Holdings I, Inc.
Application filed August 4, 2005 for approval of a fifteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (extends term of the agreement for 24 months and conforms to TRO and post-TRO remand requirements).
- Case No. U-12774 SBC Michigan and PaeTec Communications, Inc.
Application filed August 17, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (extends the term of the amendment until 5/1/06).
- Case No. U-13124 SBC Michigan and McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.
Application filed July 29, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (conforming to TELRIC cost studies in Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-13580 SBC Michigan and Sprint Communications Company Limited Partnership
Application filed August 19, 2005 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds language to SS7 tariffs).
- Case No. U-13758 SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC
Application filed August 3, 2005 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (interim solution for exchange of Out of Exchange Traffic).
- Case No. U-13758 SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC
Application filed August 8, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (reciprocal compensation).

- Case No. U-13888 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC Application filed August 25, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (UNE-P pricing extension).
- Case No. U-13889 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC, as successor in interest to Brooks Fiber Communications of Michigan, Inc. Application filed August 25, 2005 for approval of the third amendment to an interconnection agreement (UNE-P pricing extension).
- Case No. U-13890 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC, as successor in interest to MCI Worldcom Communications, Inc. Application filed August 25, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (UNE-P pricing extension).
- Case No. U-14398 SBC Michigan and Norlight Telecommunications, Inc. Application filed August 29, 2005 for a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (incorporating certain rates, terms, and conditions pertaining to loop and transport rate increases and embedded base transition per the FCC TRO Remand Order of March 11, 2005).
- Case No. U-14398 SBC Michigan and Norlight Telecommunications, Inc. Application filed August 29, 2005 for a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (incorporating certain rates, terms and conditions pertaining to mass market unbundled local switching rate increases and embedded base transition per the FCC TRO Remand Order of March 11, 2005).
- Case No. U-14592 SBC Michigan and Phone Co, Limited Partnership Application filed September 7, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds and replaces billing and insurance payment of charges).
- Case No. U-14616 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and Easton Telecom Services, L.L.C. Application filed on August 11, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

Case No. U-14620

Upper Peninsula Telephone Company and Michiana Metronet, Inc.,
d/b/a Centennial Wireless
Application filed August 19, 2005 for approval of an interconnection
and reciprocal compensation agreement.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;

- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 *et seq.*; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151

et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

(S E A L)

/s/ J. Peter Lark
Chairman

By its action of September 20, 2005.

/s/ Laura Chappelle
Commissioner

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle
Its Executive Secretary

/s/ Monica Martinez
Commissioner

et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

By its action of September 20, 2005.

Commissioner

Its Executive Secretary

Commissioner