

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission )  
approval of interconnection agreements and )  
amendments. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

At the April 28, 2005 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,  
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chairman  
Hon. Robert B. Nelson, Commissioner  
Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner

**ORDER**

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements  
and amendments:

- |                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Case No. U-11098 | SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC,<br>as successor in interest to MCI WORLDCOM Communications, Inc.<br>Application filed April 21, 2005 for approval of an eighth<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule<br>incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).                  |
| Case No. U-11178 | SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC<br>as successor in interest to Brooks Fiber Communications of<br>Michigan, Inc.<br>Application filed April 21, 2005, for approval of a seventh<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement, (pricing schedule<br>incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531). |
| Case No. U-12038 | Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon<br>North Systems, and TelCove Operations, Inc., f/k/a Adelphia<br>Business Solutions of Michigan, Inc.<br>Application filed March 7, 2005 for approval of a first amendment to<br>an interconnection agreement (amending transport and intercarrier<br>compensation).     |

- Case No. U-12465 SBC Michigan and AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc.  
Application filed April 25, 2005 for approval of a fourteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-12465 SBC Michigan and TCG Detroit Holdings I, Inc.  
Application filed April 25, 2005 for approval of a thirteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-12798 SBC Michigan and Focal Communications Corporation of Michigan  
Application filed April 5, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement, (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-12988 SBC Michigan and ACD Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed April 20, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-13218 SBC Michigan and RACC Enterprises, LLC  
Application filed March 24, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (bring pricing schedules into compliance with final order in Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-13378 SBC Michigan and Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC  
Application filed April 11, 2005 for approval of the third amendment to an interconnection agreement (revise pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13513 SBC Michigan and Sage Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed March 16, 2005 for approval of a tenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (post-TRO Remand regarding loop-transport rate increase and embedded base transition).
- Case No. U-13513 SBC Michigan and Sage Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed March 16, 2005 for approval of an eleventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO remand regarding certain rates, terms and conditions pertaining to mass market unbundled and local switching rate increases and embedded base transition).
- Case No. U-13710 SBC Michigan and Trinsic Communications, Inc.  
Application filed April 5, 2005 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (bring pricing schedules into compliance with final order in Case No. U-13531).

- Case No. U-13758 SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC  
Application filed April 21, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-13889 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC, as successor in interest to Brooks Fiber Communications of Michigan, Inc.  
Application filed April 19, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-14001 SBC Michigan and ATI Networks, Inc.  
Application filed March 28, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-14001 SBC Michigan and ATI Networks, Inc.  
Application filed April 6, 2005 for approval of the eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-14152 SBC Michigan and Level 3 Communications, LLC  
Application filed April 8, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-14222 SBC Michigan and The Winn Telephone Company, d/b/a Winn Telecom  
Application filed March 16, 2005 for approval of an eleventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO remand order regarding loop-transport rate increase and embedded base transition).
- Case No. U-14222 SBC Michigan and The Winn Telephone Company, d/b/a Winn Telecom  
Application filed March 16, 2005 for approval of a twelfth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO remand regarding certain rates, terms and conditions pertaining to mass market unbundled and local switching rate increases and embedded base transition).

- Case No. U-14413      SBC Michigan and TelNet Worldwide, Inc.  
Application filed March 25, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds Core Communications, Inc.'s petition for forbearance).
- Case No. U-14477      Ogden Telephone Company and Michiana Metronet, Inc., d/b/a Centennial Wireless  
Application filed April 1, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-14481      Blanchard Telephone Association, Inc., and Michiana Metronet, Inc., d/b/a Centennial Wireless  
Application filed April 8, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
  - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
    - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
    - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . . .
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under

47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 *et seq.*; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 *et seq.*; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ J. Peter Lark  
Chairman

( S E A L )

/s/ Robert B. Nelson  
Commissioner

/s/ Laura Chappelle  
Commissioner

By its action of April 28, 2005.

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle  
Its Executive Secretary

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

---

Chairman

---

Commissioner

---

Commissioner

By its action of April 28, 2005.

---

Its Executive Secretary