

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission )  
approval of interconnection agreements and )  
amendments. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

At the January 25, 2005 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,  
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chair  
Hon. Robert B. Nelson, Commissioner  
Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner

**ORDER**

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements  
and amendments:

- |                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| Case No. U-11098 | SBC Michigan and MCI Worldcom Communications, Inc.<br>Application filed January 6, 2005 for approval of a seventh<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule<br>incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by the<br>September 21, 2004 order in Case No. U-13531 (September 21<br>order)).    |
| Case No. U-11551 | McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc., d/b/a Phone<br>Michigan, and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc.,<br>d/b/a Verizon North Systems<br>Application filed November 30, 2004 for approval of a first<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement (ISP-bound and local<br>traffic compensation). |
| Case No. U-11980 | SBC Michigan and Message Express Company<br>Application filed December 3, 2004 for approval of a second<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule<br>incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21<br>order).  |

- Case No. U-12145 SBC Michigan and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed December 13, 2004 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-12217 Teleport Communications Group Inc., d/b/a TCG Detroit, and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems  
Application filed December 15, 2004 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (amending terms and conditions regarding unitary intercarrier compensation).
- Case No. U-12774 SBC Michigan and PaeTec Communications, Inc.  
Application filed January 5, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-12790 SBC Michigan and New-Cell Inc.  
Application filed December 3, 2004 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-12902 Lucre, Inc. and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems  
Application filed January 4, 2005 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (addressing Verizon North Systems' invoices for transport facilities and grooming of interconnection trunks).
- Case No. U-12952 SBC Michigan and TDS Metrocom, LLC, f/k/a TDS Metrocom, Inc.  
Application filed January 5, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (reflecting a name change from TDS Metrocom, Inc., to TDS Metrocom, LLC).
- Case No. U-12954 SBC Michigan and Buckeye Telesystem, Inc.  
Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of a fifth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13003 SBC Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C., d/b/a Choice One Communications  
Application filed January 5, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule

incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).

- Case No. U-13175      SBC Michigan and XO Michigan, Inc.  
Application filed January 11, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (ISP-bound traffic reciprocal compensation).
- Case No. U-13227      SBC Michigan and Verizon Wireless Personal Communications Limited Partnership, d/b/a Verizon Wireless  
Application filed December 8, 2004 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-13272      SBC Michigan and CenturyTel Solutions, LLC  
Application filed December 20, 2004 for approval of a fifth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13295      SBC Michigan and DSLnet Communications, LLC  
Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of a sixth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13328      SBC Michigan and RVP Fiber Company, L.L.C.  
Application filed December 10, 2004 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-13400      SBC Michigan and Norlight Telecommunications, Inc.  
Application filed December 15, 2004 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-13569      SBC Michigan and Talk America Inc.  
Application filed January 11, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (charging for collocation of DC power).
- Case No. U-13758      SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC  
Application filed January 6, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).

- Case No. U-13792 SBC Michigan and Range Corporation, d/b/a Range Telecommunications  
Application filed December 7, 2004 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-13845 SBC Michigan and Metro Teleconnect Companies, Inc.  
Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-13845 SBC Michigan and Metro Teleconnect Companies, Inc.  
Application filed January 5, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (deleting section 4.1 of the Resale Appendix and replacing it with a 911 Appendix).
- Case No. U-13854 SBC Michigan and New Rochelle Telephone Corp.  
Application filed December 15, 2004 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-13879 SBC Michigan and Sprint Spectrum L. P.  
Application filed December 3, 2004 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-13921 SBC Michigan and IDT America, Corp.  
Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of a sixth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13985 SBC Michigan and Global Connection Inc. of America  
Application filed January 7, 2005 for approval of first amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-14044 SBC Michigan and Midwestern Telecommunications, Incorporated  
Application filed December 10, 2004 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).

- Case No. U-14045 SBC Michigan and United Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-14058 SBC Michigan and CAT Communications International, Inc.  
Application filed December 20, 2004 for approval of a seventh amendment (adds batch hot cut process).
- Case No. U-14058 SBC Michigan and CAT Communications International, Inc.  
Application filed December 27, 2004 for approval of an eighth amendment (adds the Out of Exchange Traffic Appendix).
- Case No. U-14166 SBC Michigan and Neutral Tandem-Michigan, LLC  
Application filed December 10, 2004 for approval of a tenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-14222 SBC Michigan and The Winn Telephone Company, d/b/a Winn Telecom  
Application filed January 4, 2005 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, required by September 21 order).
- Case No. U-14238 SBC Michigan and Drenthe Telephone Company  
Application filed December 10, 2004 for approval of the fourth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-14302 SBC Michigan and Clear Rate Communications, Inc.  
Application filed December 10, 2004 for approval of a thirteenth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-14368 SBC Michigan and DayStarr LLC, d/b/a DayStarr Communications  
Application filed December 1, 2004, for approval of an interconnection agreement (traffic termination agreement).
- Case No. U-14390 Allendale Telephone Company and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed December 20, 2004 for approval of an interconnection agreement (reciprocal compensation).
- Case No. U-14395 TDS Telecommunications Corporation and Dobson Cellular Systems, Inc.  
Application filed January 6, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

- Case No. U-14396 Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC, d/b/a Comcast Digital Phone, and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems  
Application filed on January 3, 2004 for approval of an interconnection agreement (adoption pursuant to 47 USC 252(i) and the agreement approved on July 16, 1999 in Case No. U-11165 for AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc., and first amendment (exhibit 1)).
- Case No. U-14397 American Broadband and Telecommunications Company and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems  
Application filed on January 3, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement (adoption pursuant to 47 USC 252(i) and the agreement approved in Case No. U-11165, first and second amendments (exhibit 1)).
- Case No. U-14398 SBC Michigan and Norlight Telecommunications, Inc.  
Application filed January 10, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
  - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
    - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
    - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . . .
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 et seq.; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 et seq.; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 et seq.
- b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ J. Peter Lark  
Chair

( S E A L )

/s/ Robert B. Nelson  
Commissioner

/s/ Laura Chappelle  
Commissioner

By its action of January 25, 2005.

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle  
Its Executive Secretary

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

---

Chair

---

Commissioner

---

Commissioner

By its action of January 25, 2005.

---

Its Executive Secretary