

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission )  
approval of interconnection agreements and )  
amendments. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

At the February 24, 2005 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,  
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chair  
Hon. Robert B. Nelson, Commissioner  
Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner

**ORDER**

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements  
and amendments:

- |                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| Case No. U-11178 | SBC Michigan and Brooks Fiber Communications of Michigan, Inc.<br>Application filed January 6, 2005 for approval of the sixth<br>amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).  |
| Case No. U-11989 | SBC Michigan and Thumb Cellular LLC<br>Application filed January 26, 2005 for approval of a third<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule<br>incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).                    |
| Case No. U-12258 | SBC Michigan and Ameritech Mobile Communications, LLC<br>Application filed December 15, 2004 for approval of a fifth<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule<br>incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531). |
| Case No. U-12465 | SBC Michigan and TCG Detroit Holdings I, Inc.<br>Application filed January 18, 2005 for approval of a twelfth<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule<br>incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).        |

- Case No. U-12465 SBC Michigan and AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc.  
Application filed January 18, 2005 for approval of the thirteenth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-12535 SBC Michigan and American Fiber Network, Inc.  
Application filed February 15, 2005 for approval of a tenth amendment (revision of pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-12559 CenturyTel Solutions, LLC, and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems  
Application filed February 9, 2005 for approval of the first amendment (amends the terms and conditions in respect to the TRO).
- Case No. U-12790 SBC Michigan and New-Cell, Inc.  
Application filed January 18, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (terminating reciprocal compensation for ISP-bound traffic).
- Case No. U-12798 SBC Michigan and Focal Communications Corporation of Michigan  
Application filed January 10, 2005 for approval of the sixth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-12886 SBC Michigan and 1-800-Reconex, Inc.  
Application filed December 16, 2004 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-12952 SBC Michigan and TDS Metrocom, LLC  
Application filed January 14, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (reciprocal compensation).
- Case No. U-12962 SBC Michigan and Adelphia Business Solutions Operations, Inc.  
Application filed January 10, 2005 for approval of the second amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13003 SBC Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan, LLC, d/b/a Choice One Communications  
Application Filed February 16, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).

- Case No. U-13118 SBC Michigan and Borderland Communications, LLC  
Application filed December 17, 2004 for approval of the fifth amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13295 SBC Michigan and DSLnet Communications, LLC  
Application filed February 16, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-13328 SBC Michigan and RVP Fiber Company, LLC  
Application filed February 14, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-13378 SBC Michigan and Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC  
Application filed January 11, 2005 for approval of the second amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13448 SBC Michigan and AccuTel of Texas, Inc.  
Application filed February 14, 2005 for approval of the fourth amendment (revision of pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13513 SBC Michigan and Sage Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed October 28, 2004 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement in response to the October 14, 2004 Commission order.
- Case No. U-13513 SBC Michigan and Sage Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed February 4, 2005 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (adding a local wholesale complete agreement).
- Case No. U-13569 SBC Michigan and Talk America Inc.  
Application filed February 16, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-13580 SBC Michigan and Sprint Communications Company, L.P.  
Application filed February 15, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).

- Case No. U-13614 SBC Michigan and ACN Communications Services, Inc.  
Application filed February 14, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment (revision of pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13710 SBC Michigan and Trinsic Communications, Inc., f/k/a Z-Tel Communications, Inc.  
Application filed January 24, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement recognizing the corporate name change.
- Case No. U-13725 SBC Michigan and LDMI Telecommunications, Inc.  
Application filed February 14, 2005 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-13728 SBC Michigan and EZ Phone, Inc.  
Application filed December 17, 2004 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-13741 SBC Michigan and Broadview Networks, Inc.  
Application filed January 14, 2005 for approval of the third amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13788 SBC Michigan and CloseCall America, Inc.  
Application filed January 10, 2005 for approval of the third amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13836 SBC Michigan and dPi Teleconnect, LLC.  
Application filed February 15, 2005 for approval of a first amendment (revision of pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-13942 SBC Michigan and Phone Co, L.P.  
Application filed February 15, 2005 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs per Case No. U-13531).

- Case No. U-13980 SBC Michigan and Granite Telecommunications, LLC  
Application filed February 14, 2005 for approval of the second amendment (revision of pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-14029 SBC Michigan and Essex Acquisition Corporation, d/b/a Cleartel Telecommunications, Inc.  
Application filed December 17, 2004 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-14034 SBC Michigan and Easton Telecom Services, LLC  
Application filed February 18, 2005 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-14120 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and American Farm Bureau, Inc., d/b/a The Farm Bureau Connection  
Application filed January 24, 2005 for approval of a first amendment (TRO implementation).
- Case No. U-14176 SBC Michigan and Cinergy Communications Company  
Application filed January 11, 2005 for approval of the first amendment (pricing schedule per TELRIC).
- Case No. U-14222 SBC Michigan and The Winn Telephone Company, d/b/a Winn Telecom  
Application filed February 16, 2005 for approval of a tenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised pricing schedule incorporating TELRIC costs for UNEs, per Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-14397 American Broadband and Telecommunications Company and Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems  
Application filed on January 3, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement (adoption pursuant to 47 USC 252(i) of the agreement approved on July 16, 1999 in Case No. U-11165 for AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc.), first and second amendments.
- Case No. U-14412 SBC Michigan and CenturyTel Fiber Company II, LLC, d/b/a LightCorc, a CenturyTel Company  
Application filed January 19, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

Case No. U-14413                      SBC Michigan and TelNet Worldwide, Inc.  
Application filed February 14, 2005 for approval of an  
interconnection agreement.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
  - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
    - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
    - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . . .
  
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 et seq.; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151

et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 et seq.; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 et seq.

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

( S E A L )

/s/ J. Peter Lark  
Chair

By its action of February 24, 2005.

/s/ Robert B. Nelson  
Commissioner

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle  
Its Executive Secretary

/s/ Laura Chappelle  
Commissioner

et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 et seq.; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 et seq.

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chair

By its action of February 24, 2005.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
Its Executive Secretary

\_\_\_\_\_  
Commissioner