

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission )  
approval of interconnection agreements and )  
amendments. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

At the November 30, 2005 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,  
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chairman  
Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner  
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner

**ORDER**

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements  
and amendments:

- |                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| Case No. U-11340 | SBC Michigan and Climax Telephone Company<br>Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a seventh<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).        |
| Case No. U-12535 | SBC Michigan and American Fiber Network, Inc.<br>Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of an eleventh<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).  |
| Case No. U-12774 | SBC Michigan and PaeTec Communications, Inc.<br>Application filed November 10, 2005 for approval of a sixth<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).      |
| Case No. U-12886 | SBC Michigan and 1-800-Reconex, Inc., d/b/a USTel<br>Application filed November 10, 2005 for approval of a tenth<br>amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO). |

- Case No. U-12927 SBC Michigan and DIECA Communications, Inc., d/b/a Covad Communications Company  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of a fourteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-12954 SBC Michigan and Buckeye Telesystem, Inc.  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-12962 SBC Michigan and Adelpia Business Solutions Operations, Inc.  
Application filed November 9, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (name change to TelCove Operations, Inc.).
- Case No. U-13003 SBC Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C., d/b/a Choice One Communications  
Application filed November 9, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13032 SBC Michigan and B & S Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed November 14, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13118 SBC Michigan and Borderland Communications, LLC  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13124 SBC Michigan and McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13175 SBC Michigan and XO Communications Services, Inc.  
Application filed November 9, 2005 for approval of an eleventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13295 SBC Michigan and DSLNet Communications, LLC  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13371 SBC Michigan and First Communications, LLC  
Application filed November 17, 2005 for approval of the fifth amendment (TRO/TRRO).

- Case No. U-13378 SBC Michigan and Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13448 SBC Michigan and AccuTel of Texas, Inc.  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13483 SBC Michigan and WilTel Local Network, LLC  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13493 SBC Michigan and Navigator Telecommunications, L.L.C.  
Application filed November 10, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13530 SBC Michigan and Budget Phone, Inc.  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13569 SBC Michigan and Talk America Inc.  
Application filed November 7, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13580 SBC Michigan and Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership  
Application filed November 8, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13614 SBC Michigan and ACN Communication Services, Inc.  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13701 SBC Michigan and Net Express, Inc.  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13710 SBC Michigan and Trinsic Communications, Inc.  
Application filed November 1, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds superseding intercarrier compensation provisions).
- Case No. U-13710 SBC Michigan and Trinsic Communications, Inc.  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).

- Case No. U-13725 SBC Michigan and LDMI Telecommunications, Inc.  
Application filed November 9, 2005 for approval of a tenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13741 SBC Michigan and Broadview Networks, Inc.  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13758 SBC Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC  
Application filed November 9, 2005 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13805 SBC Michigan and Grid 4 Communications, Inc  
Application filed November 8, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13854 SBC Michigan and New Rochelle Telephone Corp.  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO)
- Case No. U-13887 SBC Michigan and Ernest Communications, Inc.  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13921 SBC Michigan and IDT America, Corp.  
Application filed November 7, 2005 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13924 SBC Michigan and American Farm Bureau, Inc., d/b/a The Farm Bureau Connection  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13954 SBC Michigan and Superior Technologies, Inc, d/b/a Superior Spectrum Communications  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-13985 SBC Michigan and Global Connection Inc. of America  
Application filed November 2, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).

- Case No. U-13986 SBC Michigan and Coast to Coast Telecommunications, Inc.  
Application filed November 1, 2005 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (extends the term of their reciprocal compensations settlement agreement).
- Case No. U-13986 SBC Michigan and Coast to Coast Telecommunications, Inc.  
Application filed November 9, 2005 for approval of a tenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-14034 SBC Michigan and Easton Telecom Services, L.L.C.  
Application filed November 7, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-14045 SBC Michigan and United Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed November 14, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-14063 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and American Cellular Corporation  
Application filed November 15, 2005 for approval of a first amendment (amends E911).
- Case No. U-14222 SBC Michigan and The Winn Telephone Company, d/b/a Winn Telecom  
Application filed November 7, 2005 for approval of a thirteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-14278 SBC Michigan and CenturyTel Acquisition LLC, as successor in interest to KMC Telecom III  
Application filed October 21, 2005 for approval of a fourteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (incorporates certain rates, terms and conditions pertaining to loop and transport rate increases and embedded base transition).
- Case No. U-14278 SBC Michigan and CenturyTel Acquisition LLC, as successor in interest to KMC Telecom III  
Application filed October 21, 2005 for approval of a fifteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (mass market unbundled local switching rate increases and embedded base transition).
- Case No. U-14279 SBC Michigan and KMC Telecom V, Inc.  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a thirteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).

- Case No. U-14280      SBC Michigan and KMC Data LLC  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a thirteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-14301      SBC Michigan and Quick Communications, Inc.  
Application filed November 14, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-14317      SBC Michigan and BCN Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-14398      SBC Michigan and Norlight Telecommunications, Inc.  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-14413      SBC Michigan and TelNet Worldwide, Inc.  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-14437      SBC Michigan and Ameritech Advanced Data Services of Michigan, Inc.  
Application filed November 3, 2005 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-14592      SBC Michigan and Phone Co, L.P.  
Application filed November 4, 2005 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO).
- Case No. U-14679      SBC Michigan and Sunset Coast Paging  
Application filed November 1, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement (paging facilities agreement).
- Case No. U-14680      CenturyTel of Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel Midwest--Michigan, Inc., CenturyTel of Northern Michigan, Inc., and CenturyTel of Upper Michigan, Inc. and Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC  
Application filed October 31, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement (traffic exchange agreement).
- Case No. U-14685      Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and DayStarr Communications  
Application filed November 1, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement and first amendment (supersedes original agreement in Case No. U-13770).

- Case No. U-14687      Chatham Telephone Company, Communication Corporation of Michigan, Island Telephone Company, Shiawassee Telephone Company, and Wolverine Telephone Company, and Thumb Cellular LLC  
Application filed November 10, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement (wireless traffic exchange agreement).
- Case No. U-14691      Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and ACD Telecom, Inc.  
Application filed on November 18, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-14694      SBC Michigan and Arialink Telecom, LLC  
Application filed November 18, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement, including amendments one through six from the adopted agreement approved December 18, 2003 in Case No. U-13758 between SBC Michigan and MCIMetro Access Transmission Services LLC.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
  - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
    - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
    - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .
  
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and

amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 *et seq.*; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 *et seq.*; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ J. Peter Lark  
Chairman

( S E A L )

/s/ Laura Chappelle  
Commissioner

/s/ Monica Martinez  
Commissioner

By its action of November 30, 2005.

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle  
Its Executive Secretary

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

---

Chairman

---

Commissioner

---

Commissioner

By its action of November 30, 2005.

---

Its Executive Secretary