

STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the June 30, 2005 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chairman
Hon. Robert B. Nelson, Commissioner
Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
and amendments:

- | | |
|------------------|--|
| Case No. U-12145 | SBC Michigan and Nextel West Corp.
Application filed June 15, 2005 for approval of a sixth amendment to
an interconnection agreement (adds a negotiated E911 appendix to the
agreement). |
| Case No. U-13137 | SBC Michigan and Local Exchange Carriers of Michigan, Inc.
Application filed June 8, 2005 for approval of a second amendment
to an interconnection agreement (replaces methodology for charging
for collocation DC Power). |
| Case No. U-13175 | SBC Michigan and XO Communications Services, Inc.
Application filed June 9, 2005 for approval of a tenth amendment to
an interconnection agreement (extends the term of the reciprocal
compensation settlement amendment). |
| Case No. U-13467 | SBC Michigan and NOS Communications, Inc.
Application filed June 10, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment
to an interconnection agreement (incorporates TELRIC costs into the
agreement, per the order in Case No. U-13531). |

- Case No. U-13492 SBC Michigan and C.L.Y.K. Inc.
Application filed on June 8, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (changes name to C.L.Y.K. Inc., d/b/a CloseCall America, Inc.).
- Case No. U-13814 SBC Michigan and New Access Communications LLC
Application filed June 9, 2005 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (incorporates TELRIC costs into the agreement, per the order in Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-14030 SBC Michigan and CMC Telecom, Inc.
Application filed June 8, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (incorporates TELRIC costs into the agreement, per the order in Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-14413 SBC Michigan and TelNet Worldwide, Inc.
Application filed June 13, 2005 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (incorporates TELRIC costs into the agreement, per the order in Case No. U-13531).
- Case No. U-14553 SBC Michigan and Metropolitan Telecommunications of Michigan, Inc., d/b/a MetTel
Application filed June 15, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review

of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 *et seq.*; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 *et seq.*; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ J. Peter Lark
Chairman

(S E A L)

/s/ Robert B. Nelson
Commissioner

/s/ Laura Chappelle
Commissioner

By its action of June 30, 2005.

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle
Its Executive Secretary

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Commissioner

Commissioner

By its action of June 30, 2005.

Its Executive Secretary