

STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the November 10, 2005 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chairman
Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
and amendments:

- | | |
|------------------|--|
| Case No. U-12798 | SBC Michigan and Broadwing Communications, LLC, f/k/a Focal Communications Corporation of Michigan
Application filed on September 8, 2005 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (to establish name change and operations integration). |
| Case No. U-13003 | SBC Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C., d/b/a Choice One Communications
Application filed October 13, 2005 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds ISP Bound Traffic). |
| Case No. U-13272 | SBC Michigan and CenturyTel Solutions, LLC
Application filed October 24, 2005 for approval of a seventh amendment (incorporates certain rates, terms, and conditions pertaining to loop and transport rate increases and embedded base transition) and an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (incorporates certain rates, terms, and conditions |

pertaining to mass market unbundled local switching rate increases and embedded base transition).

- Case No. U-13371 SBC Michigan and First Communications, LLC
Application filed September 30, 2005 for approval of the fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds Alternate Billed Services).
- Case No. U-13642 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and ALLTEL Communications, Inc.
Application filed October 18, 2005 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (amends, extends, and restates agreement).
- Case No. U-14412 SBC Michigan and CenturyTel Fiber Company II, LLC, d/b/a LightCore, a CenturyTel Company
Application filed October 24, 2005 for approval of a second amendment (incorporates certain rates, terms, and conditions pertaining to loop and transport rate increases and embedded base transition) and a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (incorporates certain rates, terms, and conditions pertaining to mass market unbundled local switching rate increases and embedded base transition).
- Case No. U-14413 SBC Michigan and TelNet Worldwide, Inc.
Application filed October 14, 2005 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (amends any existing monthly recurring Collocation DC Power charges in the agreement).
- Case No. U-14647 Chatham Telephone Company, Communications Corporation of Michigan, Island Telephone Company, Shiawassee Telephone Company, and Wolverine Telephone Company, d/b/a TDS Telecom, and Cingular Wireless LLC
Application filed September 28, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-14654 SBC Michigan and Charter Fiberlink-Michigan, LLC
Application filed October 18, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-14655 Ace Telephone Company of Michigan, Inc., and Michiana Metronet, Inc., d/b/a Centennial Wireless
Application filed October 4, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

Case No. U-14665

Chatham Telephone Company, Communications Corporation of Michigan, Island Telephone Company, Shiawassee Telephone Company, and Wolverine Telephone Company, d/b/a TDS Telecom and 1-800-Reconex, Inc., d/b/a USTel
Application filed October 20, 2005 for approval of an interconnection agreement (mutual traffic exchange agreement).

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .

- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 *et seq.*; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151

et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

(S E A L)

/s/ J. Peter Lark
Chairman

By its action of November 10, 2005.

/s/ Laura Chappelle
Commissioner

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle
Its Executive Secretary

/s/ Monica Martinez
Commissioner

et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, pursuant to MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

By its action of November 10, 2005.

Commissioner

Its Executive Secretary

Commissioner