

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the October 12, 2006 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chairman
Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of an interconnection
agreements and amendments:

Case No. U-12258 Ameritech Mobile Communications, LLC, d/b/a Cingular Wireless,
and Ameritech Information Industry Services, Inc.
Application filed September 13, 2006 for approval of a sixth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (changes the name
Ameritech Mobile Communications, LLC, d/b/a Cingular Wireless,
on behalf of Detroit SMSA Limited Partnership, to New Cingular
Wireless PCS, LLC).

Case No. U-12954 AT&T Michigan and Buckeye Telesystem, Inc.
Application filed September 13, 2006 for approval of a ninth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (replaces provisions of
Operator Services and Directory Assistance (OS and DA)
Appendix).

- Case No. U-14278 AT&T Michigan and CenturyTel Acquisition LLC, d/b/a KMC Telecom III LLC
Application filed September 27, 2006 for approval of a seventeenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (removes Superseding Certain Compensation and Trunking Provisions).
- Case No. U-14301 AT&T Michigan and Quick Communications, Inc.
Application filed September 25, 2006 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (Reciprocal Compensation for ISP Bound Traffic).
- Case No. U-14984 Barry County Telephone Company, Sprint Spectrum L.P., and Nextel West Corp.
Application filed on July 27, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-15069 AT&T Michigan and Superior Spectrum Telephone and Data, L.L.C.
Application filed September 21, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement and amendments one through seven, (adoption, pursuant to 47 USC 252(i), of an agreement approved November 5, 2005 in Case No. U-14413 for AT&T Michigan and TelNet Worldwide).
- Case No. U-15080 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems (Verizon), and Michigan Access, Inc.
Application filed October 2, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement (adoption, pursuant to 47 USC 252(i), of an agreement approved July 19, 2005 in Case No. U-13931 for Verizon and TelNet Worldwide).

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .

- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 *et seq.*; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 *et seq.*; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*
- b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ J. Peter Lark
Chairman

(S E A L)

/s/ Laura Chappelle
Commissioner

/s/ Monica Martinez
Commissioner

By its action of October 12, 2006.

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle
Its Executive Secretary

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Commissioner

Commissioner

By its action of October 12, 2006.

Its Executive Secretary