

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the March 14, 2006 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chairman
Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner

ORDER

The parties to the following cases have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements and amendments:

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| Case No. U-12798 | AT&T Michigan, f/k/a SBC Michigan, and Broadwing Communications, LLC
Application filed on February 21, 2006 for approval of a tenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO). |
| Case No. U-13272 | AT&T Michigan, f/k/a SBC Michigan, and CenturyTel Solutions, LLC
Application filed on February 21, 2006 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO). |
| Case No. U-14278 | AT&T Michigan, f/k/a SBC Michigan, and CenturyTel Acquisition LLC
Application filed on February 21, 2006 for approval of a sixteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (TRO/TRRO). |

- Case No. U-14783 AT&T Michigan and B & S Telecom, Inc.
Application filed on February 10, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement and first, second, third, and fourth amendments.
- Case No. U-14777 CenturyTel and DayStarr Communications
Application filed on February 2, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-14786 Upper Peninsula Telephone Company and New-Cell, Inc.
Application filed on February 10, 2006 for an interconnection agreement (adoption pursuant to 47 USC 252(i) of the agreement approved on December 20, 2001 in Case No. U-13147).
- Case No. U-14792 AT&T Michigan, f/k/a SBC Michigan, and JAS Networks, Inc.
Application filed on February 16, 2006 for an interconnection agreement and first, second, third, forth, fifth, amended and restated fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, ninth, tenth, eleventh, twelfth, thirteenth, fourteenth, fifteenth, and sixteenth of the existing amendments, plus a newly negotiated seventeenth amendment (adoption pursuant to 47 USC 252(i) of the agreement, with stated amendments, approved on March 21, 2002 in Case No. U-12465).
- Case No. U-14795 AT&T Michigan, f/k/a SBC Michigan, and CMC Telecom, Inc.
Application filed on February 21, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement and amendments one through seventeen (adoption, pursuant to 47 USC 252(i) of the agreement, with amendments, approved on March 21, 2002 in Case No. U-12465).

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .

47 USC 252(e)(2)

- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the joint applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 *et seq.*; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 *et seq.*; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*
- b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ J. Peter Lark
Chairman

(S E A L)

/s/ Laura Chappelle
Commissioner

/s/ Monica Martinez
Commissioner

By its action of March 14, 2006.

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle
Its Executive Secretary

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Commissioner

Commissioner

By its action of March 14, 2006.

Its Executive Secretary