

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the July 25, 2006 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. J. Peter Lark, Chairman
Hon. Laura Chappelle, Commissioner
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
and amendments:

- | | |
|------------------|--|
| Case No. U-14943 | Drenthe Telephone Company and New Par, Cellco Partnership, and Muskegon Cellular Partnership, each d/b/a Verizon Wireless. Application filed June 30, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement. |
| Case No. U-14944 | Blanchard Telephone Co. and New Par, Cellco Partnership, and Muskegon Cellular Partnership, each d/b/a Verizon Wireless. Application filed June 30, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement. |
| Case No. U-14945 | Allendale Telephone Company and New Par, Cellco Partnership, and Muskegon Cellular Partnership, each d/b/a Verizon Wireless. Application filed June 30, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement. |

- Case No. U-14946 Carr Telephone Company and New Par, Cellco Partnership, and Muskegon Cellular Partnership, each d/b/a Verizon Wireless. Application filed June 30, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-14953 Waldron Telephone Company and Sprint Spectrum L.P., as agent for Wireless Co., LP, and Sprintcom, Inc., and Nextel West Corp. Application filed July 7, 2006 for approval of an interconnection and reciprocal compensation agreement.
- Case No. U-14954 Blanchard Telephone Association, Inc., and Sprint Spectrum L.P., as agent for Wireless Co., LP, and Sprintcom, Inc., and Nextel West Corp. Application filed July 7, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-14955 Deerfield Farmers Telephone Company and Sprint Spectrum L.P., as agent for Wireless Co., LP, and Sprintcom, Inc., and Nextel West Corp. Application filed July 7, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-14957 Upper Peninsula Telephone Company and Sprint Spectrum L.P., as agent for Wireless Co., LP, and Sprintcom, Inc., and Nextel West Corp. Application filed July 7, 2006 for approval of an interconnection and reciprocal compensation agreement.
- Case No. U-14958 Kaleva Telephone Company and Sprint Spectrum L.P., as agent for Wireless Co., LP, and Sprintcom, Inc., and Nextel West Corp. Application filed July 7, 2006 for approval of an interconnection and reciprocal compensation agreement.
- Case No. U-14964 AT&T Michigan and YMax Communications Corp. Application filed July 13, 2006 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; . . .

- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 *et seq.*; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 *et seq.*; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ J. Peter Lark
Chairman

(S E A L)

/s/ Laura Chappelle
Commissioner

/s/ Monica Martinez
Commissioner

By its action of July 25, 2006.

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle
Its Executive Secretary

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Commissioner

Commissioner

By its action of July 25, 2006.

Its Executive Secretary