

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the July 26, 2007 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner
Hon. Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
and amendments:

Case No. U-11098 AT&T Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services,
LLC, d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services, as successor in
interest to MCI Worldcom Communications, Inc.
Application filed July 2, 2007 for approval of a thirteenth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (supersedes certain
reciprocal compensation, interconnection, and trunking terms).

Case No. U-11178 AT&T Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services,
LLC, d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services, as successor in
interest to Brooks Fiber Communications of Michigan
Application filed July 2, 2007 for approval of a twelfth amendment
to an interconnection agreement (supersedes certain reciprocal
compensation, interconnection, and trunking terms).

Case No. U-13378 AT&T Michigan and Comcast Phone of Michigan, LLC
Application filed June 29, 2007 for approval of a fifth amendment to
an interconnection agreement.

- Case No. U-13758 AT&T Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC, d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services
Application filed June 29, 2007 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (supersedes certain reciprocal compensation, interconnection, and trunking terms).
- Case No. U-14413 AT&T Michigan and TelNet Worldwide, Inc.
Application filed July 10, 2007 for approval of an eleventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (extends the term of the agreement).
- Case No. U-14964 AT&T Michigan and YMax Communications Corp.
Application filed June 25, 2007 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-15334 AT&T Michigan and KMC Data LLC
Application filed June 27, 2007 for approval of an interconnection agreement and a first amendment (adoption pursuant to 47 USC 252(i) of the agreement approved on September 12, 2006 in Case No. U-15027 between AT&T Michigan and TelCove Operations, Inc.). This agreement supersedes the prior interconnection agreement between the parties approved in Case No. U-14280.
- Case No. U-15340 CenturyTel of Upper Michigan and Charter Fiberlink-Michigan, LLC
Application filed July 5, 2007 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-15344 AT&T Michigan and Sigecom, LLC
Application filed July 9, 2007 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

Section 252 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 252, requires that any interconnection agreement that is adopted by negotiation be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 USC 252(e) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;
- ...

- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3).

The Commission concludes, after reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, must be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended, MCL 484.2101 *et seq.*; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 *et seq.*; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as amended, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

- b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ Monica Martinez
Commissioner

(S E A L)

/s/ Steven A. Transeth
Commissioner

By its action of July 26, 2007.

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle
Its Executive Secretary

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Commissioner

Commissioner

By its action of July 26, 2007.

Its Executive Secretary