

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the December 4, 2007 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner
Hon. Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
and amendments:

Case No. U-11098 AT&T Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services
LLC, d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services, as successor in
interest to MCI Worldcom Communications, Inc.
Application filed November 9, 2007 for approval of a fourteenth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (replaces Superseding
Certain Reciprocal Compensation, Interconnection and Trunking
Terms).

Case No. U-11178 AT&T Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services
LLC, d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services, as successor in
interest to Brooks Fiber Communications of Michigan, Inc.
Application filed November 9, 2007 for approval of a thirteenth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (replaces Superseding
Certain Reciprocal Compensation, Interconnection and Trunking
Terms).

- Case No. U-13758 AT&T Michigan and MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC, d/b/a Verizon Access Transmission Services
Application filed November 9, 2007 for approval of a tenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (replaces Superseding Certain Reciprocal Compensation, Interconnection and Trunking Terms).
- Case No. U-15448 Communication Corporation of Michigan, Island Telephone Company, Shiawassee Telephone Company, and Wolverine Telephone Company (collectively, d/b/a TDS Telecom), and Sprint Spectrum L.P. and Nextel West Corp. (collectively Sprint/Nextel)
Application filed November 2, 2007 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-15464 Kaleva Telephone Company and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC
Application filed November 8, 2007 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-15466 AT&T Michigan and New-Cell, Inc.
Application filed November 13, 2007 for approval of an interconnection agreement (adoption of the agreement approved in Case No. U-13227, including first amendment (extends SS7 provision), second amendment (reciprocal compensation), third amendment (new rates), and fourth amendment (adds wireless collocation appendix) (the MFN Agreement)).

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
- (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;
- ...
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

After reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, the Commission finds that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are

consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, as amended, shall be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

The Commission FINDS that:

a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, MCL 484.2101 *et seq.*; the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 47 USC 151 *et seq.*; 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 1999 AC, R 460.17101 *et seq.*

b. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above should be approved.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.

B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court under 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

/s/ Orjiakor N. Isiogu
Chairman

(S E A L)

/s/ Monica Martinez
Commissioner

/s/ Steven A. Transeth
Commissioner

By its action of December 4, 2007.

/s/ Mary Jo Kunkle
Its Executive Secretary

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court under 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Commissioner

Commissioner

By its action of December 4, 2007.

Its Executive Secretary

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

Suggested Minute:

“Adopt and issue order dated December 4, 2007 approving interconnection agreements and amendments, as set forth in the order.”