

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the June 10, 2008 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner
Hon. Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
and amendments:

- Case No. U-11962 AT&T Michigan and Global Crossing Telemanagement, Inc.
Application filed May 27, 2008 for approval of a fourth amendment
to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business
lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of
Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in
Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-12798 AT&T Michigan and Broadwing Communications, LLC
Application filed May 23, 2008 for approval of an eleventh
amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of
“business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District
of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order
in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13175 AT&T Michigan and XO Communications Services, Inc.
Application filed May 23, 2008 for approval of a sixteenth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds Appendix
Coordinated Hot Cut).

- Case No. U-13302 AT&T Michigan and BullsEye Telecom, Inc.
Application filed May 27, 2008 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13483 AT&T Michigan and WilTel Local Network, LLC
Application filed May 23, 2008 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13788 AT&T Michigan and CloseCall America, Inc.
Application filed April 21, 2008 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14152 AT&T Michigan and Level 3 Communications, LLC
Application filed May 23, 2008 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-15115 AT&T Michigan and Cbeyond Communications, LLC
Application filed May 23, 2008 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (clarifies rates for DS1 loops, DS1 Transport, and DS1 EELs).
- Case No. U-15359 AT&T Michigan and TruComm Corporation
Application filed May 20, 2008 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (revises definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;
 - ...
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

After reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, the Commission finds that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements shall be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman

Monica Martinez, Commissioner

Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

By its action of June 10, 2008.

Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary