

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission)
approval of interconnection agreements and)
amendments.)
_____)

At the May 20, 2008 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner
Hon. Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

ORDER

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements
and amendments:

- Case No. U-12535 AT&T Michigan and American Fiber Network, Inc.
Application filed April 17, 2008 for approval of a twelfth
amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of
“business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District
of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order
in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-12886 AT&T Michigan and 1-800-Reconex, Inc.
Application filed April 17, 2008 for approval of an eleventh
amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of
“business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District
of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order
in Case No. U-14447).

- Case No. U-13003 AT&T Michigan and US Xchange of Michigan, L.L.C., d/b/a One Communications
Application filed April 14, 2008 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (three year extension of agreement to April 16, 2010).
- Case No. U-13124 AT&T Michigan and McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc., d/b/a Pactec Business Services
Application filed April 11, 2008 for approval of an eleventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (three year extension of agreement to January 11, 2011).
- Case No. U-13295 AT&T Michigan and DSLnet Communications, LLC
Application filed April 9, 2008 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-13295 AT&T Michigan and DSLnet Communications, LLC
Application filed May 2, 2008 for approval of a tenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13328 AT&T Michigan and US Signal Company, L.L.C., d/b/a RVP Fiber Company
Application filed April 17, 2008 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13448 AT&T Michigan and AccuTel of Texas, d/b/a 1-800-4APhone
Application filed May 6, 2008 for approval of the sixth amendment (revising TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13467 AT&T Michigan and NOS Communications, Inc.
Application filed April 29, 2008 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13493 AT&T Michigan and Navigator Telecommunications, L.L.C.
Application filed April 16, 2008 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of

Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC's TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).

- Case No. U-13741 AT&T Michigan and Broadview Networks, Inc.
Application filed April 16, 2008 for approval of a sixth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of "business lines" to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC's TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13801 AT&T Michigan and Access One, Inc.
Application filed April 30, 2008 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of "business lines" to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC's TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13836 AT&T Michigan and dPi Teleconnect, L.L.C.
Application filed April 11, 2008 for approval of a third amendment (extending terms of agreement three years) and fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of "business lines" to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC's TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-13985 AT&T Michigan and Global Connection Inc. of America
Application filed April 14, 2008 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of "business lines" to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC's TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14003 AT&T Michigan and Waypoint Telecommunications, LLC
Application filed April 29, 2008 for approval of a ninth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of "business lines" to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC's TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).

- Case No. U-14033 AT&T Michigan and PNG Telecommunications Inc., d/b/a Powernet Global Communications
Application filed April 17, 2008 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14034 AT&T Michigan and Easton Telecom Services, L.L.C.
Application filed April 23, 2008 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (extends term of agreement for three years).
- Case No. U-14044 AT&T Michigan and Midwestern Telecommunications, Incorporated
Application filed April 21, 2008 for approval of a fourth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14045 AT&T Michigan and CynergyComm.net, Inc., d/b/a UTMI.net
Application filed May 1, 2008 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14166 AT&T Michigan and Neutral Tandem-Michigan, LLC
Application filed April 17, 2008 for approval of a thirteenth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14398 AT&T Michigan and Norlight Telecommunications, Inc.
Application filed April 9, 2008 for approval of a seventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (three year extension of agreement to March 7, 2011).
- Case No. U-14398 AT&T Michigan and Norlight Telecommunications, Inc.
Application filed April 29, 2008 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).

- Case No. U-14412 AT&T Michigan and CenturyTel Fiber Company II, LLC, d/b/a LightCore, a CenturyTel Company
Application filed April 29, 2008 for approval of a fifth amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14553 AT&T Michigan and Metropolitan Telecommunications of Michigan, Inc., d/b/a MetTel
Application filed April 30, 2008 for approval of a third amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14768 AT&T Michigan and Osirus Communications, Inc.
Application filed May 1, 2008 for approval of an eleventh amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-14795 AT&T Michigan and CMC Telecom, Inc.
Application filed April 9, 2008 for approval of a twenty-first amendment to an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-14997 AT&T Michigan and United States Cellular Operating Company of Chicago, LLC
Application filed April 17, 2008 for approval of a second amendment to an interconnection agreement (adds Meet-Point Billing Appendix and extends the term to November 15, 2010).
- Case No. U-15115 AT&T Michigan and Cbeyond Communications, LLC
Application filed March 31, 2008 for approval of an eighth amendment to an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-15533 AT&T Michigan and Phone Co, L.P.
Application filed May 6, 2008 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (revised definition of “business lines” to comply with U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, order reversing in part the MPSC’s TRO/TRRO order in Case No. U-14447).
- Case No. U-15535 Verizon North Inc. and Contel of the South, Inc., d/b/a Verizon North Systems, and Norlight, Inc.

Application filed March 27, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement and a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (reciprocal compensation traffic termination).

- Case No. U-15538 AT&T Michigan and Bandwidth.com CLEC.LLC
Application filed April 9, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-15539 Verizon Wireless and Springport Telephone Company
Application filed April 7, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement (reciprocal compensation traffic termination).
- Case No. U-15543 AT&T Michigan and DayStarr LLC, d/b/a DayStarr Communications
Application filed April 14, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement (adoption of the agreement approved for AT&T Michigan and TelNet Worldwide, Inc., in Case No. U-14413 including first amendment (adds an ABS Agreement); second amendment (ISP reciprocal compensation); third amendment (adds the ABS Appendix); fourth amendment (adds Core Comm Forbearance provisions); fifth amendment (adds final rates from Case No. U-13531); sixth amendment (adds Collocation Power provisions); seventh amendment (adds TRO/TRRO approved in Case No. U-14447); eighth amendment (adds the Batch Hot Cut Process Appendix, approved on May 10, 2006) (collectively “the MFN Agreement”); ninth amendment (voided); tenth amendment (replacing the second amendment with a New ISP Reciprocal Compensation Plan); eleventh amendment (extending terms of this agreement); twelfth amendment (establishes and/or clarifies rates and types of cross connections used with DSI loops, DSI transport, and DSI EELS).
- Case No. U-15547 AT&T Michigan and TC3 Telecom, Inc.
Application filed April 21, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement (adoption pursuant to 47 USC 252(i) of the agreement approved on February 24, 2005 in Case No. U-14413 for Telnet Worldwide, Inc., with amendments 1-8 and 10-12). The existing agreement approved in Case No. U-15213 on March 21, 2007 will terminate upon the approval of this agreement.
- Case No. U-15573 TelNet Worldwide, Inc., and Ogden Telephone Company
Application filed May 2, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement.
- Case No. U-15574 TelNet Worldwide, Inc., and Deerfield Farmers Telephone Company
Application filed May 2, 2008 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

Case No. U-15575 TelNet Worldwide, Inc., and Sand Creek Telephone Company
Application filed May 2, 2008 for approval of an interconnection
agreement.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;
 - ...
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

After reviewing the applications, agreements, and amendments, the Commission finds that it should approve the applications. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements, shall be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman

Monica Martinez, Commissioner

Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

By its action of May 20, 2008.

Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary