

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

* * * * *

In the matter on the Commission's own motion,)	
to implement the provisions of Section 401e of)	Case No. U-15489
2007 PA 164.)	
_____)	

At the December 23, 2008 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing, Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner
Hon. Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

ORDER

On December 20, 2007, Governor Jennifer M. Granholm signed Senate Enrolled Bill No. 410, 2007 PA 164, the Emergency 9-1-1 Service Enabling Act, which amends 1986 PA 32; MCL 484.1101 *et seq.*

Section 401e of the Act reads as follows:

- (1) No later than February 15, 2008, each county that decides to assess a surcharge under section 401b shall with the assistance of the state 9-1-1 office submit to the commission all of the following:
 - (a) The initial county 9-1-1 surcharge for each 9-1-1 service district to be effective July 1, 2008.
 - (b) The estimated amount of revenue to be generated in each 9-1-1 service district for 2007.
 - (c) Based on the surcharge established under this subsection, the estimated amount of revenue to be generated for 2008.

- (2) If the amount to be generated in 2008 exceeds the amount received in 2007 plus an amount not to exceed 2.7% of the 2007 revenues, the commission, in consultation with the committee, shall review and approve or disapprove the county 9-1-1 surcharge adopted under section 401b. If the commission does not act by March 17, 2008, the county 9-1-1 surcharge shall be deemed approved. If the surcharge is rejected, it shall be adjusted to ensure that the revenues generated do not exceed the amounts allowed under this subsection. In reviewing the surcharge under this subsection, the commission shall consider the allowable and disallowable costs as approved by the committee on June 21, 2005.

In an order issued on January 2, 2008, the Commission directed each county that decides to assess a county 9-1-1 surcharge to provide to the Commission information in compliance with MCL 484.1401e no later than February 15, 2008.

On March 11, 2008, the Commission issued an order approving applications as filed or as adjusted for 68 Michigan counties including Lake County. On December 5, 2008, Lake County filed a request to eliminate the \$2.51 surcharge authorized in the March 11, 2008 order.

According to Lake County, the surcharge is no longer necessary because on August 5, 2008, the voters of Lake County approved a dedicated millage for 9-1-1 services. Attached to the application were copies of the ballot proposal, election results, and a copy of the Lake County Board of Commissioners resolution eliminating surcharges for 9-1-1 services.

Because the 9-1-1 surcharge for Lake County has been replaced by a millage for 9-1-1 services, the Commission finds that the surcharge is no longer necessary and should be discontinued. Telecommunications carriers with customers in Lake County, should work with Lake County 9-1-1 officials to eliminate the county 9-1-1 surcharge by January 1, 2009. An updated chart of authorized surcharges for county 9-1-1 service is attached to this order as Exhibit A.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the county 9-1-1 surcharge for 2008 approved for Lake County on March 11, 2008 is eliminated effective January 1, 2009.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman

Monica Martinez, Commissioner

Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

By its action of December 23, 2008.

Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary

Michigan Public Service Commission
Department of Labor and Economic Growth
U-15489 9-1-1 County' Surcharges
March 11, 2008
(as revised by the Commission's December 23, 2008 Order)

*County data in ***bold-italic*** font represents those counties for which the Commission has adjusted² the county surcharge to allow for only a 2.7% revenue increase, using the 0.93 devices per person factor (based on 2000 Census data).

County	2007 County Surcharge	2007 County Surcharge Revenue	2008 County Proposed County Surcharge	2008 County-Projected Surcharge Revenue as submitted to the MPSC	2008 Commission Approved County Surcharge	2008 Estimated County Surcharge Revenue as Adjusted ³
<i>Alcona</i>	<i>\$4.00</i>	<i>\$260,225</i>	<i>\$3.00</i>	<i>\$363,168</i>	<i>\$2.10</i>	<i>\$267,252</i>
Alger	\$0.37	\$25,369	\$0.18	\$25,021	\$0.18	\$25,021
Allegan	\$2.85	\$2,100,000	\$1.63	\$1,998,282	\$1.63	\$1,998,282
<i>Alpena</i>	<i>\$4.00</i>	<i>\$710,843</i>	<i>\$2.46</i>	<i>\$795,444</i>	<i>\$2.14</i>	<i>\$730,036</i>
Antrim	\$2.91	\$485,310	\$1.97	\$491,610	\$1.97	\$491,610
Arenac	\$0.72	\$60,294	\$0.32	\$60,980	\$0.32	\$60,980
<i>Benzie</i>	<i>\$3.00</i>	<i>\$361,507</i>	<i>\$2.27</i>	<i>\$395,704</i>	<i>\$2.13</i>	<i>\$371,268</i>
<i>Berrien</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$727,556</i>	<i>\$1.00</i>	<i>\$1,332,159</i>	<i>\$0.42</i>	<i>\$747,200</i>
Branch	\$0.55	\$156,692	\$0.31	\$138,600	\$0.31	\$138,600
<i>Calhoun</i>	<i>\$0.65</i>	<i>\$476,949</i>	<i>\$0.60</i>	<i>\$679,285</i>	<i>\$0.60</i>	<i>\$679,285</i> ⁴
<i>Cass</i>	<i>\$2.40</i>	<i>\$639,336</i>	<i>\$1.99</i>	<i>\$656,598</i>	<i>\$1.18</i>	<i>\$656,598</i>
<i>Charlevoix</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$140,829</i>	<i>\$0.61</i>	<i>\$157,737</i>	<i>\$0.51</i>	<i>\$144,631</i>
<i>Cheboygan</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$140,798</i>	<i>\$0.61</i>	<i>\$158,622</i>	<i>\$0.50</i>	<i>\$144,600</i>
<i>Chippewa</i>	<i>\$2.00</i>	<i>\$404,932</i>	<i>\$1.50</i>	<i>\$525,071</i>	<i>\$0.99</i>	<i>\$415,865</i>
Clare	\$0.80	\$154,926	\$0.47	\$159,109	\$0.47	\$159,109
Clinton	\$4.00	\$1,488,683	\$2.00	\$1,508,823	\$2.00	\$1,508,823
<i>Crawford</i>	<i>\$4.00</i>	<i>\$354,700</i>	<i>\$2.73</i>	<i>\$390,427</i>	<i>\$2.35</i>	<i>\$364,277</i>
<i>Delta</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$181,428</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$337,000</i>	<i>\$0.44</i>	<i>\$186,327</i>
<i>Dickinson</i>	<i>\$0.70</i>	<i>\$118,915</i>	<i>\$0.70</i>	<i>\$164,454</i>	<i>\$0.41</i>	<i>\$122,126</i>
<i>Emmet</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$191,447</i>	<i>\$0.61</i>	<i>\$200,588</i>	<i>\$0.58</i>	<i>\$196,616</i>
<i>Genesee</i>	<i>\$2.40</i>	<i>\$5,737,786</i>	<i>\$1.37</i>	<i>\$5,815,246</i>	<i>\$1.24</i>	<i>\$5,892,706</i>
Gladwin	\$0.80	\$140,364	\$0.51	\$144,069	\$0.51	\$144,069
<i>Gogebic</i>	<i>\$0.52</i>	<i>\$55,402</i>	<i>\$0.60</i>	<i>\$218,800</i>	<i>\$0.30</i>	<i>\$56,897</i>
<i>Grand Traverse</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$555,609</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$743,282</i>	<i>\$0.66</i>	<i>\$570,610</i> ⁴
<i>Gratiot</i>	<i>\$2.89</i>	<i>\$577,428</i>	<i>\$1.69</i>	<i>\$592,500</i>	<i>\$1.29</i>	<i>\$593,019</i>
<i>Hillsdale</i>	<i>\$2.99</i>	<i>\$593,522</i>	<i>\$1.75</i>	<i>\$637,282</i>	<i>\$1.20</i>	<i>\$609,547</i>
<i>Houghton</i>	<i>\$2.64</i>	<i>\$418,796</i>	<i>\$1.26</i>	<i>\$422,717</i>	<i>\$1.10</i>	<i>\$430,103</i>
<i>Huron</i>	<i>\$3.61</i>	<i>\$715,618</i>	<i>\$2.23</i>	<i>\$752,173</i>	<i>\$1.87</i>	<i>\$734,940</i>
Ingham	\$0.58	\$1,040,286	\$0.31	\$840,000	\$0.31	\$840,000
<i>Ionia</i>	<i>\$4.00</i>	<i>\$1,151,081</i>	<i>\$1.90</i>	<i>\$1,119,500</i>	<i>\$1.77</i>	<i>\$1,182,160</i>
<i>Iosco</i>	<i>\$2.88</i>	<i>\$536,030</i>	<i>\$2.88</i>	<i>\$698,520</i>	<i>\$1.85</i>	<i>\$550,503</i>
Iron	\$2.28	\$230,000	\$1.61	\$236,052	\$1.61	\$236,052
<i>Isabella</i>	<i>\$4.00</i>	<i>\$1,007,264</i>	<i>\$1.80</i>	<i>\$1,190,000</i>	<i>\$1.50</i>	<i>\$1,034,460</i>
<i>Jackson</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$729,936</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$1,058,017</i>	<i>\$0.44</i>	<i>\$749,644</i>
Kalkaska	\$4.00	\$454,000	\$2.52	\$466,027	\$2.52	\$466,027
Kent	\$0.00	\$0	\$0.45	\$1,822,500	\$0.45	\$1,442,156
<i>Lake</i>	<i>\$4.00</i>	<i>\$301,223</i>	<i>\$5.37</i>	<i>\$481,369</i>	<i>\$0.00</i>	<i>\$0</i> ⁵
<i>Lapeer</i>	<i>\$3.70</i>	<i>\$1,444,858</i>	<i>\$1.60</i>	<i>\$1,556,546</i>	<i>\$1.55</i>	<i>\$1,483,869</i>
<i>Lenawee</i>	<i>\$2.52</i>	<i>\$1,220,653</i>	<i>\$1.34</i>	<i>\$1,248,498</i>	<i>\$1.18</i>	<i>\$1,253,610</i>
Livingston	\$3.50	\$3,300,000	\$1.85	\$3,216,200	\$1.85	\$3,216,200
Luce	\$1.78	\$75,952	\$0.99	\$77,600	\$0.99	\$77,600
<i>Mackinac</i>	<i>\$1.80</i>	<i>\$181,440</i>	<i>\$1.48</i>	<i>\$182,983</i>	<i>\$1.43</i>	<i>\$186,339</i>
Mason	\$3.50	\$1,222,823	\$2.09	\$1,255,840	\$2.09	\$1,255,840
<i>Mecosta</i>	<i>\$3.20</i>	<i>\$645,021</i>	<i>\$1.72</i>	<i>\$661,227</i>	<i>\$1.50</i>	<i>\$662,437</i>
<i>Menominee</i>	<i>\$2.38</i>	<i>\$348,000</i>	<i>\$2.12</i>	<i>\$446,250</i>	<i>\$1.30</i>	<i>\$357,396</i>
<i>Monroe</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$608,605</i>	<i>\$0.60</i>	<i>\$792,923</i>	<i>\$0.39</i>	<i>\$625,037</i>
<i>Montcalm</i>	<i>\$4.00</i>	<i>\$1,225,550</i>	<i>\$2.00</i>	<i>\$1,293,839</i>	<i>\$1.89</i>	<i>\$1,258,640</i>
Montmorency	\$2.80	\$216,000	\$1.47	\$221,408	\$1.47	\$221,408

Michigan Public Service Commission
Department of Labor and Economic Growth
U-15489 9-1-1 County¹ Surcharges
March 11, 2008
(as revised by the Commission's December 23, 2008 Order)

*County data in ***bold-italic*** font represents those counties for which the Commission has adjusted² the county surcharge to allow for only a 2.7% revenue increase, using the 0.93 devices per person factor (based on 2000 Census data).

County	2007 County Surcharge	2007 County Surcharge Revenue	2008 County Proposed County Surcharge	2008 County-Projected Surcharge Revenue as submitted to the MPSC	2008 Commission Approved County Surcharge	2008 Estimated County Surcharge Revenue as Adjusted ³
<i>Muskegon</i>	<i>\$0.72</i>	<i>\$614,332</i>	<i>\$0.72</i>	<i>\$981,956</i>	<i>\$0.34</i>	<i>\$630,919</i>
<i>Newaygo</i>	<i>\$3.00</i>	<i>\$789,656</i>	<i>\$1.95</i>	<i>\$870,620</i>	<i>\$1.56</i>	<i>\$810,977</i>
<i>Oakland</i>	<i>\$0.28</i>	<i>\$2,243,963</i>	<i>\$0.25</i>	<i>\$2,168,314</i>	<i>\$0.18</i>	<i>\$2,304,550</i>
Oceana	\$3.50	\$1,222,823	\$2.09	\$1,255,840	\$2.09	\$1,255,840
<i>Ogemaw</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$135,288</i>	<i>\$1.50</i>	<i>\$251,243</i>	<i>\$0.59</i>	<i>\$138,941</i>
Ontonagon	\$0.80	\$43,196	\$0.51	\$43,774	\$0.51	\$43,774
<i>Osceola</i>	<i>\$3.20</i>	<i>\$369,163</i>	<i>\$1.72</i>	<i>\$378,330</i>	<i>\$1.50</i>	<i>\$379,130</i>
<i>Oscoda</i>	<i>\$0.72</i>	<i>\$44,737</i>	<i>\$0.46</i>	<i>\$45,360</i>	<i>\$0.45</i>	<i>\$45,945</i>
Otsego	\$2.40	\$425,448	\$1.72	\$436,358	\$1.72	\$436,358
<i>Presque Isle</i>	<i>\$0.48</i>	<i>\$47,237</i>	<i>\$0.32</i>	<i>\$50,588</i>	<i>\$0.31</i>	<i>\$48,512</i>
<i>Saginaw</i>	<i>\$4.00</i>	<i>\$4,852,505</i>	<i>\$2.65</i>	<i>\$5,640,200</i>	<i>\$2.18</i>	<i>\$4,983,523</i>
<i>Sanilac</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$206,000</i>	<i>\$1.29</i>	<i>\$414,546</i>	<i>\$0.44</i>	<i>\$211,562</i>
Schoolcraft	\$0.63	\$38,948	\$0.40	\$46,000	\$0.40	\$46,000
Shiawassee	\$2.50	\$928,821	\$1.22	\$941,169	\$1.22	\$941,169
<i>St Clair</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$716,000</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$1,100,314</i>	<i>\$0.41</i>	<i>\$735,332</i>
<i>Tuscola</i>	<i>\$4.00</i>	<i>\$1,110,000</i>	<i>\$2.09</i>	<i>\$1,114,375</i>	<i>\$1.80</i>	<i>\$1,139,970</i>
<i>Van Buren</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$330,691</i>	<i>\$1.17</i>	<i>\$662,886</i>	<i>\$0.41</i>	<i>\$339,620</i>
<i>Washtenaw</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$1,470,135</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$1,663,030</i>	<i>\$0.43</i>	<i>\$1,509,829</i>
<i>Wayne</i>	<i>\$0.80</i>	<i>\$7,349,673</i>	<i>\$0.75</i>	<i>\$12,128,280</i>	<i>\$0.34</i>	<i>\$7,548,114</i>
Wexford	\$0.52	\$101,677	\$0.25	\$103,114	\$0.25	\$103,114

¹The following counties did not apply for a county surcharge for 2008: Baraga, Barry, Bay, Eaton, Kalamazoo, Keweenaw, Macomb, Manistee, Marquette, Midland, Missaukee, Ottawa, Roscommon, and Saint Joseph. These counties use other methods to fund their 9-1-1 programs. The filed application for Leelanau County was withdrawn March 3, 2008.

²Adjusted surcharges are calculated in the following manner:

- (i)[2007 County Surcharge Revenue * 1.027]. This value reflects a 2.7% increase over the 2007 County Surcharge Revenue
- (ii)Subtract one half of the 2007 Revenue. This step accounts for the fact that the new surcharge will be in effect July through December (half the year) for 2008.
- (iii)Divide the resulting number by 6 months. This represents the estimated revenue per month for July-December 2008.
- (iv)Divide the result by [(0.93) * (county population)]. 2000 US Census data has been used for county population estimates. The resulting value is rounded to the nearest cent and represents the Commission approved county surcharge.

³For those counties whose filings were approved as filed, the adjusted revenue in this column is equal to the 2008 County-Projected Surcharge Revenue as submitted to the MPSC. For those counties with Commission adjusted surcharges, the adjusted revenue in this column is equal to the 2007 County Surcharge Revenue * 1.027, that is a 2.7% increase over the 2007 County Surcharge Revenue. For the adjusted counties, the County-Projected Surcharge Revenue may be greater or less than the Estimated County Surcharge Revenue as Adjusted due to the fact that some counties assumed device counts differing from the 0.93 devices per person. Values may not be exact due to rounding.

⁴These counties have been adjusted by the Commission pursuant to a rehearing request

⁵ This surcharge has been modified pursuant to Commission Order dated 12/23/08.