

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission )  
approval of interconnection agreements or )  
amendments. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

At the July 16, 2009 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,  
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman  
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner  
Hon. Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

**ORDER**

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of interconnection agreements  
or amendments to an interconnection agreement:

- Case No. U-12145 AT&T Michigan and Nextel West Corp.  
Joint application filed July 2, 2009 for approval of a seventh  
amendment to an interconnection agreement (to comply with the  
arbitration in Case No. U-15788).
- Case No. U-13580 AT&T Michigan and Sprint Communications Company, L.P.  
Joint application filed July 2, 2009 for approval of a tenth  
amendment to an interconnection agreement (to comply with the  
arbitration in Case No. U-15788).
- Case No. U-13879 AT&T Michigan and Sprint Spectrum Limited Partnership  
Joint application filed July 2, 2009 for approval of a fourth  
amendment to an interconnection agreement (to comply with the  
arbitration in Case No. U-15788).
- Case No. U-15362 AT&T Michigan and New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC  
Application filed July 1, 2009 for approval of a fourth amendment to

an interconnection agreement (amends the InterMTA language of Appendix ITR).

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
  - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
    - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
    - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;
  - (B) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by arbitration . . . if it finds that the agreement does not meet the requirements of subsection 251, including the regulations prescribed by the Commission pursuant to section 251, or the standards set forth in subsection (d) of this section.
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

After reviewing the applications, the Commission finds that they should be approved. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law, are in the public interest, and meet the requirements of 47 USC 252(e)(2)(B) and (d). Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements shall be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The arbitrated and negotiated interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

---

Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman

---

Monica Martinez, Commissioner

---

Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

By its action of July 16, 2009.

---

Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary