

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the matter of the joint requests for Commission )  
approval of interconnection agreements or )  
amendments. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

At the March 18, 2009 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing,  
Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman  
Hon. Monica Martinez, Commissioner  
Hon. Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

**ORDER**

The following parties have filed joint applications for approval of an interconnection  
agreement or an amendment to an interconnection agreement:

Case No. U-14836 Pigeon Telephone Company, and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a Sprint  
PCS, and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed March 2, 2009 for approval of a first amendment to  
an interconnection agreement (rates for Reciprocal Compensation  
for the termination of telecommunications traffic that originates on  
the other party's network based on Pigeon's TSLRIC study and rates  
approved by the Commission in Case No. U-14781 on July 1, 2008).

Case No. U-14953 Waldron Telephone Company, and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a  
Sprint PCS, and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed March 2, 2009 for approval of a first amendment to  
an interconnection agreement (rates for Reciprocal Compensation  
for the termination of telecommunications traffic that originates on  
the other party's network based on Waldron's TSLRIC study and  
rates approved by the Commission in Case No. U-14781 on July 1,  
2008).

- Case No. U-14954     Blanchard Telephone Association, Inc., and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a Sprint PCS, and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed March 2, 2009 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (rates for Reciprocal Compensation for the termination of telecommunications traffic that originates on the other party's network based on Blanchard's TSLRIC study and rates approved by the Commission in Case No. U-14781 on July 1, 2008).
- Case No. U-14955     The Deerfield Farmers Telephone Company, and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a Sprint PCS, and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed March 2, 2009 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (rates for Reciprocal Compensation for the termination of telecommunications traffic that originates on the other party's network based on Deerfield's TSLRIC study and rates approved by the Commission in Case No. U-14781 on July 1, 2008).
- Case No. U-14957     Upper Peninsula Telephone Company, and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a Sprint PCS, and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed February 24, 2009 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (reflects revised rates for Reciprocal Compensation approved by the Commission on March 11, 2008 in Case No. U-14781).
- Case No. U-14958     Kaleva Telephone Company, and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a Sprint PCS, and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed March 2, 2009 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (rates for Reciprocal Compensation for the termination of telecommunications traffic that originates on the other party's network based on Kaleva's TSLRIC study and rates approved by the Commission in Case No. U-14781 on July 1, 2008).
- Case No. U-14968     Ace Telephone Company, and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a Sprint PCS, and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed March 2, 2009 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (rates for Reciprocal Compensation for the termination of telecommunications traffic that originates on the other party's network based on Ace's TSLRIC study and rates approved by the Commission in Case No. U-14781 on July 1, 2008).
- Case No. U-14982     Lennon Telephone Company, and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a Sprint PCS, and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed March 2, 2009 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (rates for Reciprocal Compensation for the termination of telecommunications traffic that originates on

the other party's network based on Lennon's TSLRIC study and rates approved by the Commission in Case No. U-14781 on July 1, 2008).

- Case No. U-14983     Ogden Telephone Company, and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a Sprint PCS, and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed March 2, 2009 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (rates for Reciprocal Compensation for the termination of telecommunications traffic that originates on the other party's network based on Ogden's TSLRIC study and rates approved by the Commission in Case No. U-14781 on July 1, 2008).
- Case No. U-15384     Carr Telephone Company, and Sprint Spectrum L.P., d/b/a Sprint PCS, and Nextel West Corp.  
Application filed March 2, 2009 for approval of a first amendment to an interconnection agreement (rates for Reciprocal Compensation for the termination of telecommunications traffic that originates on the other party's network based on Carr's TSLRIC study and rates approved by the Commission in Case No. U-14781 on July 1, 2008).
- Case No. U-15757     CenturyTel of Michigan, Inc., and Castle Wire, Inc.  
Application filed January 23, 2009 for approval of a Traffic Exchange agreement.
- Case No. U-15758     CenturyTel of Northern Michigan, Inc., and Castle Wire, Inc.  
Application filed January 23, 2009 for approval of a Traffic Exchange agreement.
- Case No. U-15759     CenturyTel of Upper Michigan, Inc., and Castle Wire, Inc.  
Application filed January 23, 2009 for approval of a Traffic Exchange agreement.
- Case No. U-15914     AT&T Michigan and Trans National Communications International, Inc.  
Application filed March 10, 2009 for approval of an interconnection agreement.

47 USC 252(e)(2) and (3) provides in part:

- (2) The State commission may only reject
  - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) of this section if it finds that--
    - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or

(ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity;

...

- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), but subject to section 253 of this title, nothing in this section shall prohibit a State commission from establishing or enforcing other requirements of State law in its review of an agreement, including requiring compliance with intrastate telecommunications service quality standards or requirements.

After reviewing the applications and amendments, the Commission finds that they should be approved. The Commission finds that the agreements and amendments are consistent with federal and state law and are in the public interest. Under 47 USC 252(i) and MCL 484.2359(2), the services provided under the agreements shall be made available to other telecommunications carriers upon the same terms and conditions.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. The interconnection agreements and amendments listed above are approved.
- B. Approval of the interconnection agreements and amendments does not alter the duty of the parties to comply with relevant federal and state law and past and future Commission orders and rules.

The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party aggrieved by this order may file an action in the appropriate federal District Court pursuant to 47 USC 252(e)(6).

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

---

Orjiakor N. Isiogu, Chairman

---

Monica Martinez, Commissioner

---

Steven A. Transeth, Commissioner

By its action of March 18, 2009.

---

Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary